# Structure Determination of $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> Using a Mixed Crystal

By T. Ohba,\* K. Kifune and Y. Komura

Department of Materials Science, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University, Higashi-senda-machi, Naka-ku, Hiroshima 730, Japan

(Received 31 March 1987; accepted 17 June 1987)

## Abstract

 $M_r = 419.87$ , trigonal, P3c1, a = 7.198(1), c =13.076(1) Å, V = 586.7 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 6 (30 Mn and 12 Ge per unit cell),  $D_x = 7.129 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ ,  $\lambda (\text{Mo } K\alpha) =$  $0.71069 \text{ Å}, \ \mu = 31.0 \text{ mm}^{-1}, \ F(000) = 1134.0, \ T =$ 295 K. The crystal structure of  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> has been determined using diffraction data derived from a mixed crystal of  $\zeta_1$ -Mn<sub>5-11</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> and  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> whose c axis is one-third that of  $\zeta_1$ , since it is difficult to isolate a pure single crystal of  $\zeta_2$ . The analysis is performed on the assumption that the scattering from both crystallites is incoherent. The assumption has been proved to be valid by plotting the diffraction data obtained from a mixed crystal against those from pure  $\zeta_1$ . Full-matrix least-squares refinement has been applied for 384 independent reflections derived from the mixed crystal, which leads to a final R(F) =0.0885, wR(F) = 0.0840. The composition of  $\zeta_2$ - $Mn_5Ge_2$  is found to be slightly different from  $\zeta_1$  by the structure analysis. The atoms in  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> are located in averaged positions for three parts of the  $\zeta_1$  structure which are obtained by dividing the structure into three along the c axis.

### Introduction

There are five intermetallic compounds in the Mn-Ge system, *i.e.* Mn<sub>3</sub>.<sub>25</sub>Ge, Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>, Mn<sub>2</sub>Ge, Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>3</sub> and Mn<sub>11</sub>Ge<sub>8</sub>. The crystal structures of these compounds have been determined and discussed by many authors, for example, Zwicker, Jahn & Schubert (1949), Kàdàr & Krèn (1971), Ohba, Ueyama, Kitano & Komura (1984), Ellner (1980), Castelliz (1953), Israiloff, Völlenkle & Wittmann (1974) and Ohba, Watanabe & Komura (1984). Of these, the structure of the high-temperature phase  $\zeta$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>, which shows interesting magnetic behavior (Ohoyama, 1961; Wachtel & Henig, 1969; Yamada, Ohashi & Ohoyama, 1982; Yamada, Sakai, Usami & Ohoyama, 1986), is unknown.

An electron microscopic study of the hightemperature phase  $\zeta$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> was made by Kifune & Komura (1986) who found that two types of structures coexist in the alloy specimens and form microsyntactic intergrowth. These two structures have different cvalues, one is about 39 Å and the other 13 Å. We call the former  $\zeta_1$  and the latter  $\zeta_2$  in this paper. A mixed crystal of  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  shows double peaks in the temperature dependence of magnetization. The crystal structure of  $\zeta_1$ -Mn<sub>5-11</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> was determined using a specimen which showed no such double peaks but a single peak in the magnetization (Komura, Ohba, Kifune, Hirayama, Tagai, Yamada & Ohoyama, 1987).

Intensities of  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> have been separated from a mixed crystal of  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  with the method described in this paper. Intensity data thus derived have been used to determine the crystal structure of  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>, since it is found to be difficult to isolate a pure single crystal of  $\zeta_2$ .

## Experimental

Intensity measurements have been made for three different crystal fragments. One is a single crystal  $\zeta_1$ of irregular shape, maximum dimension 0.09 mm (sample A), and the other two are mixtures of  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$ , maximum dimensions 0.06 and 0.12 mm (samples B and B'). All the intensity data were collected on a Rigaku automated four-circle diffractometer (AFC-5), with graphite-monochromated Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation. An  $\omega$  scan was employed because of the long c axis of the  $\zeta_1$  crystal. Experimental conditions for sample A have already been reported (Komura et al., 1987). Conditions for sample B: lattice constants estimated from interplanar spacings are a = 7.198(1), c =13.076(1) and 3c = 39.227(4) Å (mixture) using 25 reflections  $(19^{\circ} < 2\theta < 41^{\circ})$ , scan range  $(1.5 + 0.5 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ , scan rate  $2^{\circ} \min^{-1}$  in  $\theta$ , background measurements at the beginning and the end of each scan range for 5 s and the ranges of the data collected were  $-9 \le h \le 9$ ,  $-9 \le k \le 9$ ,  $0 \le l \le 50$  and  $2^\circ \le 2\theta \le 1$ 50°; 1337 measured reflections with  $|F_o| \ge 3\sigma(|F_o|)$ averaged to 768 independent reflections. Experimental conditions for sample B' are almost the same as those for B. Lp and absorption corrections were applied for the samples A and B, but not for B'.

<sup>\*</sup> Present address: Institute of Materials Science, University of Tsukuba, Sakura-mura, Niihari-gun, Ibaraki 305, Japan.

### Analysis of the mixed structure

#### A. Comparison of the two kinds of data sets

We have three data sets. One was obtained from a  $\zeta_1$  single crystal having a simple magnetization curve (Komura et al., 1987) and the other two were obtained from the mixed crystals of  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$ . The data collected from the  $\zeta_1$  single crystal are called data A and the others from mixed crystals data B and B'. The data sets B and B' did not give good reliability factors when the structure refinements of  $\zeta_1$  were made (Komura & Hirayama, 1981). The final R factors obtained were about 10%, and they were not reduced any more. Some atoms have negative temperature factors and some others anomalously large values. As described before, the structure of  $\zeta_1$ -Mn<sub>5-11</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> has been analyzed satisfactorily by using data A(Komura et al., 1987). The structure of the second phase  $\zeta_2$  has been solved with data B or B'.

The intensities from samples A and B are represented respectively as follows:

$$I^{A}(\mathbf{h}) = k^{A} I_{39}(\mathbf{h}) \tag{1A}$$

and

$$I^{B}(\mathbf{h}) = k^{B}[n_{39}I_{39}(\mathbf{h}) + (1 - n_{39})I_{13}(\mathbf{h})], \quad (1B)$$

where **h** is a reciprocal-lattice vector,  $k^A$  and  $k^B$  are scale factors for samples A and B, respectively,  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$ is the intensity from  $\zeta_2$ ,  $I_{39}(\mathbf{h})$  is that from  $\zeta_1$ , and  $n_{39}(0 \le n_{39} \le 1)$  is the fraction of  $\zeta_1$  in sample B. We assume here that X-rays are scattered incoherently by  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  in the mixed crystal and that structure factors can be calculated by the kinematical diffraction theory. The c axis of  $\zeta_1$  is exactly three times that of  $\zeta_2$ . The intensity of an *hkl* reflection having l=3n in the mixed crystal is considered to be the sum of the intensities from  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$ . On the other hand,  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  is equal to zero for reflections with  $l \neq 3n$ [(1B)]. Here the index l is referred to the longer c axis  $(\zeta_1)$ . The following relations between  $I^{A}(\mathbf{h})$  and  $I^{B}(\mathbf{h})$  can be obtained from (1A) and (1B) for  $l \neq 3n$ and l = 3n:

$$I^{A}(\mathbf{h}) = (k^{A}/k^{B}n_{39})I^{B}(\mathbf{h}) \qquad \text{for } l \neq 3n \qquad (2)$$
$$I^{A}(\mathbf{h}) = (k^{A}/k^{B}n_{39})I^{B}(\mathbf{h}) \qquad -(k^{A}/n_{39})(1-n_{39})I_{13}(\mathbf{h}) \qquad \text{for } l = 3n. \qquad (3)$$

Equations (2) and (3) show that  $I^{A}(\mathbf{h})$  is proportional to  $I^{B}(\mathbf{h})$  for  $l \neq 3n$  reflections, but it is not for l = 3nreflections.  $I^{A}(\mathbf{h})$  for l = 3n reflections are smaller than  $I^{B}(\mathbf{h})$  multiplied by the scale-factor ratio  $k^{A}/k^{B}n_{39}$ .

Fig. 1 shows the correlation of intensities between two data sets A and B, where the intensities are plotted as  $\log|F|$ . The symbols  $\times$  and  $\bigcirc$  in Fig. 1 represent intensities for l=3n and  $l \neq 3n$  reflections, respectively. It is clearly shown that l=3n reflections are distributed lower than  $l \neq 3n$  reflections. Another data set B' was also compared with data A, and a similar distribution was obtained. Weaker reflections, which are distributed in the lower left part of the figure, are scattered.

## B. Derivation of the $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> structure

(i) Intensity of the  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> structure. Intensity  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  for l = 3n can be obtained from (3) by subtracting the intensity  $I^A(\mathbf{h})$  from the intensity  $I^B(\mathbf{h})$  multiplied by  $k^A/k^Bn_{39}$ . Although  $n_{39}$ ,  $k^A$  and  $k^B$  are not known,  $k^A/k^Bn_{39}$  can be determined by a comparison of reflections with  $l \neq 3n$  [(2)].

A ratio (p) of the structure factor of data A to that of B,  $p = |F_A(\mathbf{h})|/|F_B(\mathbf{h})|$ , which is related to  $k^A/k^B n_{39}$ in (2) and (3), is calculated for every reflection. Averaged values of p are calculated for l = 3n ( $\bar{p}_{l=3n}$ ) and  $l \neq 3n$  ( $\bar{p}_{l\neq 3n}$ ) and are  $\bar{p}_{l=3n} = 2.985$  (5) and  $\bar{p}_{l\neq 3n} = 5.000$  (3) for 411 and 297 reflections, respectively. It is reasonable for  $\bar{p}_{l=3n}$  to be smaller than  $\bar{p}_{l\neq 3n}$  because the intensity of reflection with l = 3nfor B comes from both crystals of  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  [(2) and (3)]. The value  $k^A/k^B n_{39}$  should be equal to  $\bar{p}_{l\neq 3n}^2$ according to (2). The intensity  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  is proportional to  $\bar{p}_{l\neq 3n}^2|F_B(\mathbf{h})|^2 - |F_A(\mathbf{h})|^2$  according to (3).

For mixed crystals, we have two data sets, B and B'. If the data for  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  derived from both B and B' are compared, one can expect a linear relation between them. 199  $I'_{13}(\mathbf{h})/I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  are plotted in Fig. 2, where  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  and  $I'_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  are the intensities derived from B and B', respectively. A linear relationship can be observed. Three strong reflections, 030, 300 and 1,1,15, however, deviate from the linear relationship, but these reflections in data set B' are affected strongly by extinction owing to the large size of crystal B'.

The structure of  $\zeta_2$  can be analyzed using  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  derived from the mixed crystal as described above.



Fig. 1. Comparison of two data sets A and B. Marks  $\times$  and  $\bigcirc$  represent l = 3n and  $l \neq 3n$  reflections, respectively.

(ii) Model of the  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> structure. The intensity distribution of  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  for the  $\zeta_2$  structure derived from the mixed crystal is very similar to that for  $\zeta_1$  with l=3n. Intensity distributions of reciprocal nets 0klfor  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  are shown schematically in Figs. 3(a)and (b), respectively. Patterson maps synthesized from  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  are also similar to those of  $\zeta_1$ , so that it may be reasonable to assume that the two crystal structures resemble each other. To obtain an initial model of  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>, the structure of  $\zeta_1$  (Komura *et* al., 1987) is divided into three parts along the c axis (Fig. 4a) and the atoms are assumed to be located on the average positions for the three parts (Fig. 4b). There are two kinds of fundamental layers stacked alternately at c/30 in  $\zeta_1$ . Additional atoms are placed on three threefold axes. Atomic positions in the three parts are very similar except for atoms on 00z, so that average positions of the atoms on  $\frac{12}{33}z$ ,  $\frac{21}{33}z$  and fundamental layers are easily obtained. Atomic positions on 00z of the three parts of  $\zeta_1$  are superposed and schematically drawn on a line as shown in Fig. 5. Mn atoms for the initial model of  $\zeta_2$  were placed between two v marks and Ge atoms were placed between two + marks. Refinements of the  $\zeta_2$  structure



Fig. 2. Comparison of  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  and  $I'_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  derived from data sets B and B', respectively.



Fig. 3. Intensity distributions of the reciprocal nets 0kl for  $(a) \zeta_1$ and  $(b) \zeta_2$  structures. Index l is referred to the  $\zeta_1$  structure.

have been carried out using the full-matrix leastsquares method described below.

### C. Refinement of the $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> structure

The scale factor and positional parameters were refined firstly using 384 independent  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  reflections derived from data set B, and the R value reached 0.0945 with a fixed temperature factor of  $B_0 =$ 0.8 Å<sup>2</sup>. After refinement with temperature factors, the final *R* value was R(F) = 0.0885, wR(F) = 0.0840, w = 1, s = 1.0937,  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.07$  and  $\Delta\rho$  excursions  $\leq$  |11| e Å<sup>-3</sup>.\* The temperature factors have reasonable values. Determination of the polar direction has not been attempted. The final atomic parameters are listed in Table 1. The program used in the refinement was RADIEL (Coppens, Guru Row, Leung, Stevens, Becker & Yang, 1979) and scattering factors and anomalous-dispersion corrections f' and f'' for Mn and Ge atoms were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974).

<sup>\*</sup> A list of structure factors for  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> has been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 44108 (4 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.



Fig. 4. Initial model projected along [010]. Fundamental layers and three threefold axes are represented by horizontal and vertical lines, respectively. Mn and Ge atoms on the threefold axes are represented by open and filled circles, respectively. Kinds of atoms in the fundamental layers are shown by small open and filled circles beside the horizontal lines. (a)  $\zeta_1$  structure divided into three parts and (b) initial model of  $\zeta_2$  structure.



Fig. 5. Superposed atomic positions on the 00z axis of the three parts of  $\zeta_1$ -Mn<sub>5·11</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. The z parameter is referred to  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>.

Table 1. Atomic parameters for  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>

 $B_o$  is an isotropic temperature factor. Designation of atoms is followed in the  $\zeta_1$  structure.

		x	у	z		$B_o(\text{\AA}^2)$
Mn(1)	6(d)	0.3339 (17)	0.0295 (15	5) 0.0		0-78 (15)
Mn(4)	6(d)	0.6121 (13)	) −0·0545 (13	3) 0.0945	(11)	0.75 (12)
Ge(7)	6(d)	0.3338 (10)	) −0·0065 (13)	B) 0·1951	(11)	1.11 (9)
Mn(10)	6(d)	0.6916 (20)	0.0257 (20	)) 0·2963	(11)	1.91 (21)
Mn(13)	6(d)	0.3312 (25)	) -0.0239 (20	)) 0·3900	(6)	1.10 (17)
Mn(16)	2(a)	0.0	0.0	0.1201	(14)	0.97 (25)
Ge(21)	2(a)	0.0	0.0	0-4125	(12)	1.19 (17)
Ge(23)	2(b)	1	23	-0.0343	(14)	1.38 (18)
Mn(26)	2(b)	Ĩ	23	0.2681	(22)	1.62 (27
Mn(29)	2(c)	23	13	0.1212	: (15)	0.75 (25)
Ge(32)	2(c)	2/3	1 3	0.4138	(12)	1.06 (20)
	NO	NV	R(F)	wR(F)	S	
	384	32	0.0885	0.0840	1.093	7

Table 2. Interatomic distances  $(Å^2)$  for  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>

Mn(1)-Ge(32)	2.560(10)	Mn(13)-Ge(23)	2.444 (18)
Ge(7)	2.564 (14)	Ge(21)	2.492 (20)
Ge(21)	2.573 (13)	Ge(32)	2.516(12)
Ge(23)	2.648 (13)	Ge(7)	2.552 (16)
Mn(10)	2.664(14)	Mn(10)	2.679 (17)
Mn(4)	2.668 (17)	Mn(4)	2.684(16)
Mn(4)	2.672 (13)	Mn(10)	2.711 (24)
Mn(13)	2.758 (24)	Mn(10)	2.727 (23)
Mn(16)	2.789 (15)	Mn(26)	2.745 (22)
Mn(29)	2.792 (13)	Mn(1)	2.758 (24)
Mn(13)	2.827(13)	Mn(1)	2.827(13)
Mn(13)	2.844 (25)	Mn(1)	2.844 (25)
Mn(4)	2.862 (16)	Mn(16)-3Ge(7)	2.617(12)
Mn(4)–Ge(7)	2.560 (16)	3Mn(14)	2.639(10)
Ge(7)	2.564 (16)	Ge(21)	2.715 (24)
Ge(23)	2.620 (16)	3Mn(1)	2.789(15)
Mn(16)	2.639 (10)	3Mn(10)	3.268 (20)
Mn(29)	2.641 (10)	Ge(21)-3Mn(13)	2.492 (20)
Mn(1)	2.668 (17)	3Mn(1)	2.573 (13)
Mn(1)	2.672 (13)	Mn(16)	2.715 (24)
Mn(13)	2.684 (16)	3Mn(10)	2.772 (18)
Mn(10)	2.700 (19)	Ge(23)=3Mn(13)	2.444 (18)
Mn(1)	2.862 (16)	Mn(26)	2.584 (34)
Mn(26)	3.029 (24)	3Mn(4)	2.620(16)
Ge(7)	3.130 (12)	3Mn(1)	2.648(13)
Ge(7)-Mn(26)	2.537 (15)	$M_{n}(26) = 3Ge(7)$	2.537(15)
Mn(13)	2.552 (16)	Ge(23)	2.584 (34)
Mn(1)	2.564 (14)	3Mn(10)	2.608(11)
Mn(4)	2.560 (16)	3Mn(13)	2.745(22)
Mn(4)	2.564 (16)	3Mn(4)	3.029 (24)
Mn(29)	2.607 (11)	$M_{P}(20) = 3G_{P}(7)$	2,607(11)
Mn(16)	2.617 (12)	$\operatorname{Mn}(29) = \operatorname{SOE}(7)$	2.641 (10)
Mn(10)	2.624 (20)	$G_{e}(32)$	2.041(10) 2.712(25)
Mn(10)	2.800 (18)	3Mn(1)	2,702(13)
Mn(10)	2.812 (15)	3Mn(1)	3.251 (20)
Mn(4)	3.130 (12)	$C_{2}(22) = 2M_{\pi}(12)$	2 = 51((12))
Mn(10)–Mn(26)	2.608 (11)	Ge(32) = 3Mn(13)	2.510(12)
Ge(7)	2.624 (20)	$\operatorname{SWII}(1)$	2.500(10)
Mn(1)	2.664 (14)	$\frac{Mn(29)}{2Mn(10)}$	2.712(23)
Mn(13)	2.679 (17)	51411(10)	2-774(18)
Mn(4)	2.700 (19)		
Mn(13)	2.711 (24)		
Mn(13)	2.727 (23)		
Ge(21)	2.772 (18)		
Ge(32)	2.1/4(18)		
Ge(7)	2.800(18)		
Ge(/)	2.812(15)		
Mn(29)	3.268 (20)		
MII(10)	5.208 (20)		

The refinement was also made using intensities  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  derived from data set B'. The positional parameters obtained are the same as those of B within  $3\sigma$ . Since we reach the same results for the structure from the different data sets, it is concluded that the structure of  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> is determined correctly.

### Discussion

The structure of  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>, whose c axis is one-third of  $\zeta_1$ -Mn<sub>5.11</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>, has been determined using the intensities derived from a mixed crystal. As described above, we already know the structure of  $\zeta_1$ -Mn<sub>5-11</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> (Komura et al., 1987). If the calculated intensity data  $I_{39}(\mathbf{h})$  from the  $\zeta_1$  structure are substituted in (1B), with  $k^B n_{39}$  known from (2) for  $l \neq 3n$ , the intensity data  $I_{13}(\mathbf{h})$  can be obtained independently from the above method. The structure refinement has been carried out using this data set and the positional parameters thus obtained lead to the same values within errors of  $3\sigma$ . We utilized one data set from a pure single crystal in this paper. However, this method can be applied even without having single-crystal data if one has two data sets of mixed crystals of different compositions.

The composition of  $\zeta_2$  is slightly different from  $\zeta_1$ according to the refinement; the number of Mn atoms on 00z is two for  $\zeta_2$  and eight for  $\zeta_1$  even though the c axis is three times longer than that of  $\zeta_2$  (Fig. 5).  $\zeta_2$  is then described exactly by Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>, and  $\zeta_1$  by Mn<sub>5</sub>·11Ge<sub>2</sub>. The difference between them is small, and it is practically impossible to distinguish them by chemical analysis since both crystallites make microsyntactic intergrowth.

Interatomic distances for  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> are listed in Table 2. The coordination number of Mn atoms in  $\zeta_2$  is 12 or 13 and that of Ge atoms 10 or 11, which is similar to the  $\zeta_1$  structure.

The crystallites of  $\zeta_2$  make microsyntactic intergrowth with  $\zeta_1$  as was observed under the electron microscope (Kifune & Komura, 1986). The width of the bands of intergrowth is about 1000 Å. Although an effort to find a single crystal of  $\zeta_2$ -Mn<sub>5</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> was made, no such crystal was obtained even under the electron microscope. The validity of the treatment of the incoherent-scattering calculation from both crystallites is apparent in Fig. 1. If coherent scattering is dominant, cross terms of F and  $F^*$  appear in (1B). In general, cross terms of F and  $F^*$  cannot be known as positive or negative. So l = 3n reflections are distributed randomly above and below  $l \neq 3n$  reflections. Weaker reflections, which are distributed in the lower left part in Fig. 1, are seen to form two groups. Attempts to find the reason have not yet succeeded.

It is remarkable that the difference in the structure may reflect sensitively on the magnetic properties. It is necessary to obtain a single domain of  $\zeta_2$  in order to find the detailed relationship between the structure and the magnetic properties.

The calculations were carried out on a HITAC M-200H computer at the Information Processing Center of Hiroshima University and a personal computer, Fujitsu FM-11EX, in our laboratory.

The present work has been partly supported by a Scientific Research Grant from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, to which the authors' thanks are due.

#### References

CASTELLIZ, L. (1953). Monatsh. Chem. 84, 765-776.

COPPENS, P., GURU ROW, T. N., LEUNG, P., STEVENS, E. D., BECKER, P. D. & YANG, Y. W. (1979). Acta Cryst. A35, 63-72. ELLNER, M. (1980). J. Appl. Cryst. 13, 99-100.

- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor D. Reidel, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.)
- ISRAILOFF, P., VÖLLENKLE, H. & WITTMANN, A. (1974). Monatsh. Chem. 105, 1387-1404.
- KADAR, G. & KREN, E. (1971). Int. J. Magn. 1, 143-148.
- KIFUNE, K. & KOMURA, Y. (1986). Cryst. Res. Technol. 21, 1229-1234.
- KOMURA, Y. & HIRAYAMA, H. (1981). Acta Cryst. A37, C184-C185.
- Komura, Y., Ohba, T., Kifune, K., Hirayama, H., Tagai, T., Yamada, N. & Ohoyama, T. (1987). Acta Cryst. C43, 7-10.
- OHBA, T., UEYAMA, K., KITANO, Y. & KOMURA, Y. (1984). Acta Cryst. C40, 576-579.
- OHBA, T., WATANABE, N. & KOMURA, Y. (1984). Acta Cryst. B40, 351-354.
- OHOYAMA, T. (1961). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 16, 1995-2002.
- WACHTEL, E. & HENIG, E.-T. (1969). Z. Metallkd. 60, 243-247.
  YAMADA, N., OHASHI, T. & OHOYAMA, T. (1982). J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, 51, 2041-2042.
- YAMADA, N., SAKAI, H., USAMI, Y. & OHOYAMA, T. (1986). J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 54-57, 929-930.
- ZWICKER, U., JAHN, E. & SCHUBERT, K. (1949). Z. Metallkd. 40, 433-436.